

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/789,252 02/27/2004		Michael J. Sullivan	B04-06	9485		
40990	7590 05/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ACUSHNET COMPANY			GORDEN,	GORDEN, RAEANN		
333 BRIDGE STREET P. O. BOX 965			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRHAVEN, MA 02719			3711			
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•							
٠		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/789,2	52	SULLIVAN ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Raeann (Gorden	3711				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communitariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION: 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev cation. lays, a reply within the statory period will apply and w, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	tesponsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 January 200	5.					
)☐ This action is r						
•	, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —							
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the app a) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			, ·			
Application	n Papers							
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including th ne oath or declaration is objected to b	•	-, -		` '			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s	3							
1) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT lo(s)/Mail Date <u>1-28-05</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. How can the nanostructures further comprise layered planes of graphite?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 15, 16, are 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Binette et al (6,561,928). Binette discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer, and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer can be made from ionomers or non-ionomeric materials such as polyethylene coplymers (col. 23). The inner cover layer may also include graphite as a filler.

Art Unit: 3711

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 6,802,784. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present invention and the '784 patent claim golf balls comprising three layers and exfoliated graphite in the intermediate layer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1-28-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 35 USC 112 rejection is maintained. The claim as currently amended is not understood. Should the claim read, "The nanostructures form nonosheets"? The 102 rejection over Binette is also maintained. Applicant argues the specification defines the term nanostructure as being measured in microns, nanometer, or angstroms. The specification does not provide a definition regarding dimensions for the term

Art. Unit: 3711

nanostructure. However, even if the specification did provide such a definition it would not overcome the prior art because dimensions are not claimed. Simply stating graphite is measured using a certain unit is not a limitation when values aren't given. The graphite disclosed by Binette can easily be measured using any type of unit.

The terminal disclaimer has been received but is not currently processed. The Examiner will remove the double patenting rejection in the next action once the td is approved.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg May 2, 2005

RAEANN GORDEN